

AD

TECHNICAL REPORT

74-57-FL

**DEVELOPMENT OF IRRADIATED BEEF**

**I. ACCEPTANCE OF BEEF LOIN**

**IRRADIATED AT CRYOGENIC TEMPERATURES**

by

G. W. Shults

and

E. Wierbicki

Irradiated Food Products Group  
Radiation Preservation of Food Division

Project: IT762724AH99

July 1974

Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited.

UNITED STATES ARMY  
NATICK LABORATORIES  
Natick, Massachusetts 01760



Food Engineering Laboratory  
FL-182

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use of such items.

Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.



Distribution of this  
report is unlimited

AD \_\_\_\_\_

TECHNICAL REPORT

74-57-FL

DEVELOPMENT OF IRRADIATED BEEF

I. ACCEPTANCE OF BEEF LOIN IRRADIATED AT CRYOGENIC TEMPERATURES

by

G. W. SHULTS AND E. WIERBICKI  
Irradiated Food Products Group  
Radiation Preservation of Food Div

JULY 1974

PROJECT: IT762724AH99

FL-182

Food Engineering Laboratory  
U. S. Army Natick Laboratories  
Natick, Massachusetts 01760

## FOREWORD

These experiments were initiated to determine the effects on the sensory characteristics and preference ratings of beef loin irradiated at various cryogenic temperatures. The objective was to determine the optimal cryogenic temperature for irradiation of beef loin.

Results from these studies showed little need to irradiate beef at temperatures lower than  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . However, irradiation at  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$  yields an acceptable product after 12 months storage, but not as acceptable as a product irradiated at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

These studies were undertaken as a research project of the Irradiated Food Products Group, Food Engineering Laboratory, under Project IT762724AH99, Radiation Preservation of Food.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                        |             |
|------------------------|-------------|
|                        | <u>Page</u> |
| Introduction           | 2           |
| Methods and Materials  | 3           |
| Results and Discussion | 5           |
| Summary                | 7           |
| References             | 9           |



## INTRODUCTION

Irradiation at subzero temperatures has been shown to decrease the radiation-induced changes in the sensory characteristics and chemical nature of beef when compared to irradiating in a non-frozen state. Comparatively little data are available on decreases in radiation-induced changes which might be obtained by irradiating beef at extreme low temperatures ( $-80^{\circ}$  to  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). More information on the advantages of irradiation within this range is needed before the feasibility of producing irradiated beef can be fully established. In response to this need, the temperature range of  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$  was investigated, the purpose being to find the optimum temperature for the irradiation of beef. To accomplish this purpose, experimental work was carried out to determine differences in the sensory characteristics and preference ratings of beef irradiated at temperatures ranging from  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

The present investigators were not the first to recognize that the irradiation of meats in a frozen state is a promising method for reducing the deleterious effects of ionizing radiation at high processing doses. Several investigators have reported that the adverse quality changes, such as off-flavor and off-odor formation during irradiation, can be reduced or eliminated by irradiating foods at temperatures in the range of  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $-196^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Coleby et al (1961) reported that raw beef and pork irradiated with 5 Mrad at  $-75^{\circ}\text{C}$  were preferred to the meats irradiated with 2 Mrad at  $\pm 18^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The authors reported further that raw beef irradiated at various temperature levels within the range of  $+18^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $-196^{\circ}\text{C}$  showed a little protection from irradiation damage within the limits of  $0^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $+18^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; a rapid increase in protection from  $0^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; and smaller increases at temperature levels between  $-20^{\circ}$  and  $-196^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

Snyder (1961) found that off-odor formation in beef steaks was reduced to threshold values when irradiated in liquid nitrogen ( $-196^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). Harlan et al (1967) and Kauffman et al (1969) observed a linear decrease in the intensity of irradiation off-flavors of beef steaks as temperatures of irradiation were successively decreased from  $+20^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $-196^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Wadsworth and Shults (1966) found beef irradiated at  $-196^{\circ}\text{C}$  was statistically pre-



ferred to beef irradiated at  $-50^{\circ}\text{C}$  by both trained technological panels and consumer-type panels. Harlan (1967) reported that meat items irradiated in liquid nitrogen ( $-196^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) were comparable in their organoleptic characteristics with non-irradiated controls.

#### MATERIALS AND METHOD

##### Materials

Boneless beef loins, the Longissimus muscles of both U. S. Choice and U. S. Commercial grades, were used in an experiment designed to show the effects of irradiation on the sensory characteristics of a high quality and a low quality beef product.

In another experiment, variations found from one loin to another were eliminated by using matched or paired U.S. Choice loins from the same carcass. The product for each testing period and for all variables was from the same set of paired loins - this was done to minimize the variation in the experiments and to assure that the differences (if any) were due directly to the temperature of irradiation.

##### Methods

The products were enzyme inactivated at  $104^{\circ}\text{C}$  in a steam retort; internal temperature obtained within the meat was  $73^{\circ}$  to  $76^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Immediately after the desired internal temperature was reached, products were packed in 404 x 700 or 404 x 200 cans lined with a "C" oleoresinous enamel. Temperature of the product at closing was  $43^{\circ}$  to  $49^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The cans were hermetically sealed under 500 mm vacuum, frozen at  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and held until irradiation.

All samples, except those designated for controls, were irradiated in a Cobalt-60 source and received a dose in a range of 4.5 to 5.6 megarads. It is noted that based on the experimentally determined preliminary data the 12-D sterilizing doses, using the Schmitt and Nank method, are 4.7 megarads at  $-30^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 10^{\circ}\text{C}$  irradiation temperature and 5.7 megarads at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  irradiation temperature (Wierbicki *et al.*, 1970). This is because the resistance of *C. botulinum* spores to radiation increases when product temperature during irradiation is lowered from  $+65^{\circ}$  to  $-196^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Grecz *et al.*, 1971). However, for the development of irradiated beef products prior to determining these sterilizing doses, a dose range of 4.5 to 5.6 megarads



was used for all temperatures of irradiation.

The desired temperatures of irradiation were obtained by placing the cans in an insulated container during irradiation and controlling the lower temperatures with liquid nitrogen in a vapor or liquid state. The exact temperatures of the product during irradiation were recorded by thermocouples inserted in the cans. The temperatures were controlled during irradiation to  $\pm 10^{\circ}$  of the desired temperature, except for  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$  which was controlled within the range of  $-180^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $-196^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

#### Evaluation:

Samples were evaluated by a panel of trained technologists (6 to 8 panelists per test) for the sensory characteristics: off-odor, irradiation flavor, mushiness, discoloration, and off-flavors other than irradiation flavor. An intensity scale of 1 - 9 (1 denoting "none" and 9 denoting "extreme") was employed in evaluating these sensory characteristics.

Preference ratings were obtained using consumer-type panels of 36 untrained panelists and technological panels of 6 to 8 trained panelists. For this kind of organoleptic evaluation, the hedonic scale of 1 - 9 was used, with 1 denoting "dislike extremely" and 9 "like extremely". The beef was served as a hot roast beef item to all panelists.

The irradiated samples were stored at  $21^{\circ}$  to  $26^{\circ}\text{C}$  for one year. Evaluations were made at 1 week (0-time) and 1, 3, 6, and 12 month intervals except where otherwise stated. Technological panel tests were performed at each withdrawal and consumer-type panel tests were performed at 1 and 3 months storage. Samples for the paired loin experiment were stored at  $21^{\circ}$  to  $26^{\circ}\text{C}$  and evaluated by technological panels at intervals of 1, 3, and 6 months.

#### Statistical Analysis:

The data for the sensory characteristics and preference ratings of each sample were pooled and computer-analyzed. Results of technological and consumer-type panels were analyzed separately. Statistical differences between samples, in respect to the sensory characteristics and preference ratings were computed using an analysis of variance table and Duncan's multiple range test (Duncan, 1955). Significance was determined at the 95% confidence level in all cases.



## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of sensory evaluation of the irradiated beef loin, U. S. Commercial grade, over a wide range of temperatures are shown on Table #1. It is evident that the intensities of irradiation flavor, mushiness and friability were decreased substantially as the irradiation temperature was lowered. However, little reduction of the intensities in the loins resulted by lowering the temperature below  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Samples irradiated in the non-frozen state, which had intensities in the moderate range, were judged to be unacceptable for testing after 3 months storage. The degradation of the textural characteristics was the primary factor making these samples unacceptable.

The results shown in Table #2 were obtained from another set of samples of beef loin irradiated in a range of  $0^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The largest differences in the intensity ratings of the sensory characteristics were found in samples irradiated at  $0^{\circ}$  and  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$ . After both 3 and 10 weeks storage, the intensities for irradiation flavor in the  $0^{\circ}\text{C}$  samples were found statistically different from the other irradiated samples. Ratings for the textural characteristics and technological-panel preference were not statistically significant. The consumer-panel ratings for preference found the  $0^{\circ}\text{C}$  samples significantly different from the other samples.

The data obtained for the U. S. Choice beef loin from 4 withdrawals over one year of storage are tabulated in Table #3. No statistical differences were found between the  $-40^{\circ}$ ,  $-80^{\circ}$ ,  $-120^{\circ}$ , and  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$  irradiated samples for any of the sensory characteristics; however, statistical differences were obtained for ratings between the irradiated and non-irradiated samples.

Ratings for irradiation flavor and off-odor decreased as the temperature of irradiation was lowered, but the decrease was not statistically significant. The scores for off-odor, irradiation flavor, and off-flavor (other than irradiation flavor) for the irradiated samples stored for 0, 1, and 3 months were high; however, at 12 months storage the intensities of these sensory characteristics were lower (Table #3), indicating an effect of prolonged storage, reported previously by Kauffman *et al.*, (1969).



Preference ratings for the samples were rated in the acceptable range (5.0 or above) (Table #3). After 12-months storage, the  $-80^{\circ}$ ,  $-120^{\circ}$ , and  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$  irradiated samples were scored higher (6.0) than the  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$  (5.5), but this difference was not significant.

U. S. Commercial beef loin evaluated over a one-year storage period (Table #4) did not develop the degree of mushiness found in the U. S. Choice beef loin. Ratings for mushiness were statistically different between the control and the  $-40^{\circ}$ ,  $-80^{\circ}$ , and  $-120^{\circ}\text{C}$  irradiated samples, but no statistical differences were found between the mushiness ratings for  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$  sample and the non-irradiated control after 12-months storage.

The off-odor rating for the  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$  sample at 0-time storage was significantly higher than the other irradiated samples and the frozen control. No significant differences were obtained in the irradiation flavor scores for the irradiated samples.

Preference ratings for 12-month stored samples showed that the  $-120^{\circ}$  and  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$  irradiated samples were significantly preferred to the  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$  sample; however, no significant differences were obtained between the  $-80^{\circ}$ ,  $-120^{\circ}$ , and  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$  samples. All samples at each storage period received acceptable preference ratings.

Test results of the U. S. Commercial loins (Table #4) obtained by a technological panel at 12 months of storage show an increase in the preference ratings as the irradiation temperature of the samples was lowered. The preference rating of the  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$  sample was at the border line (5.0 or above) for acceptability and the samples irradiated at the lower temperatures were rated acceptable. The simultaneous evaluation of the beef samples of both grades showed the consumer-panel rating for the  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$  U. S. Choice loin sample, at 0-storage period was significantly different from the frozen control sample (Table #5).

No significant differences were found in the preference ratings for the U. S. Choice and U. S. Commercial irradiated samples at both the 0 and 3 month storage periods. No significant differences were noted between the U. S. Commercial loin samples, irradiated and non-irradiated, at both storage periods. At the 3-month storage period, the U. S. Choice



frozen control was preferred to the irradiated samples.

Paired beef loins from the same carcass were used in an attempt to minimize variations in the raw material. The experiment was designed to show only the variation in sensory characteristics and preference ratings directly associated with the temperature of irradiation. Technological panel ratings for preference show the control samples preferred to all the irradiated samples and the  $-120^{\circ}\text{C}$  irradiated samples to be statistically preferred to the  $-40^{\circ}\text{C}$  samples (Table #6).

All irradiated samples were rated relatively low for preference on the hedonic scale. Evaluation of the sensory characteristics reveals that the textural characteristics, mushiness, and friability were rated from 3.0 to 4.0 (slight to below moderate) on the intensity scale. These characteristics are believed to be the main factors effecting the preference ratings of the irradiated samples.

#### SUMMARY

U. S. Choice beef loin stored for one year showed no difference in the ratings of samples irradiated at  $-80^{\circ}$ ,  $-120^{\circ}$ ,  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$  when evaluated for sensory characteristics and preference by a technological (expert) panel. Consumer-type panelists could not detect any differences in the irradiated samples that could be attributed to the temperatures of irradiation within the temperature range from  $-40^{\circ}$  to  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

Results of technological panel evaluations obtained for the sensory characteristics of U. S. Commercial beef loin stored for one year showed statistical differences between the  $-40^{\circ}$  and  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  samples and the non-irradiated controls. No differences were noted in the sensory characteristics and preferences ratings of samples irradiated at  $-120^{\circ}$  and  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Consumer-type panel ratings do not show any differences between irradiated and non-irradiated samples; however, they preferred the non-irradiated samples to all irradiated samples.

The off-odor and irradiation flavor intensities for the U. S. Choice and U. S. Commercial loins decreased by the lowering of the irradiation temperature. However, the decreases in the intensity scores obtained by lowering the irradiation temperature to below  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  were not statistically significant.

After one year's storage, all samples were rated in the acceptable



range of 5.0 or above. Increases in the acceptance ratings of the samples were made by lowering the irradiation temperature to  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Lowering the temperature below  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  did not result in any significant improvements.



## REFERENCES

- Coleby, B., M. Ingram, and H. J. Sheperd. 1961. Treatment of meats with ionizing radiations. VI. Changes in quality during storage of sterilized raw beef and pork. J. Sci. Food Agric. 12, 417.
- Coleby, B., M. Ingram, H. J. Sheperd, M. J. Thornley, and G. M. Wilson. 1961. VII. Effect of low temperatures during irradiation. J. Sci. Food Agric. 12, 483.
- Duncan, D. B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F. test. Biometrics 11 (1), 1.
- Grecz, M., A. A. Walker, A. Anellis, and D. Berkowitz. 1971. Effect of irradiation temperature in the range  $-196^{\circ}$  to  $95^{\circ}\text{C}$  on the resistance of spores of Clostridium botulinum 33A in cooked beef. Can. Jour. of Micro. 17: 135.
- Harlan, J. W., F. L. Kauffman, and F. Heiligman. 1967. Effect of irradiation temperature and processing conditions on organoleptic properties of beef and chemical yields in model systems. Radiation Preservation of Foods, Advances in Chemistry Series 65, Am. Chem. Soc., Washington, D.C. 1967: 35-57.
- Kauffman, F. L. and J. W. Harlan. 1969. Effect of low temperature irradiation on chemical and sensory characteristics of beef steaks. Contract No. DA-19-129-AMC-164(N), Swift and Company; Technical Report No. 69-64-FL, U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, March 1969.
- Snyder, O. P., Jr., 1960. Low temperature irradiation of foods, an evaluation. Progress Report #1, QMF & CI Report 23-60; Quartermaster Food and Container Institute for the Armed Forces, Chicago, IL, 1 April to 1 June 1960.
- Wadsworth, C. K. and G. W. Shults, 1966. Low temperature irradiation of meats. Activities Report #18, No. 1.
- Wierbicki, E., A. Anellis, J. J. Killoran, E. L. Johnson, M. H. Thomas, and E. S. Josephson. 1970. High dose radiation processing of meat, poultry and seafood products. Third International Congress of Food Science and Technology, Washington, D.C., 9-14 August 1970.



TABLE #1

Effects of irradiation temperatures on flavor and  
textural characteristics of U. S. Commercial beef loin.

| Irradiation<br>Temp °C | Irradiation Flavor | Mushiness | Friability |
|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|------------|
| + 60°                  | 4.1                | 5.3       | 5.0        |
| + 21°                  | 3.3                | 3.4       | 3.0        |
| + 40°                  | 2.9                | 2.5       | 1.9        |
| - 80°                  | 2.1                | 2.0       | 1.8        |
| -185°                  | 1.5                | 2.0       | 1.9        |

N = 16

1 - 3 Month Storage

DOSE: 4.5 to 5.6 Megarads



TABLE #2

Effects of irradiation temperature on the sensory and preference ratings of U.S.  
Commercial beef loin.

| 3 Weeks at 21° to 25°C  |                  |                |                 |                |                   | 10 Weeks at 21° to 25°C |                |                 |                |                   |
|-------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Temp. of<br>Irradiation | Irrad.<br>Flavor | Mushi-<br>ness | Friabi-<br>lity | Tech.<br>Pref. | Consumer<br>Pref. | Irrad.<br>Flavor        | Mushi-<br>ness | Friabi-<br>lity | Tech.<br>Pref. | Consumer<br>Pref. |
| 0°C                     | 3.9*             | 2.8            | 4.2             | 4.7            | 4.8*              | 4.4*                    | 2.4            | 3.0             | 5.6            | 4.8*              |
| - 40°C                  | 2.6              | 1.8            | 3.4             | 5.9            | 5.7               | 1.9                     | 1.4            | 3.0             | 6.1            | 5.8               |
| -120°C                  | 2.3              | 1.8            | 2.8             | 6.0            | 5.7               | 1.4                     | 1.6            | 2.3             | 6.3            | 6.1               |
| -185°C                  | 2.4              | 1.4            | 1.6             | 5.8            | 5.9               | 1.6                     | 1.5            | 2.8             | 6.1            | 5.7               |

Irradiation dose, 4.5-5.6 Mrad

Technological panels = 8 panelists

Consumer panels = 36 panelists

\*Significantly different from other samples

Significance at the 5% level



TABLE #3

Effects of the temperature of irradiation on the sensory characteristics and preference ratings of U. S. Choice beef loin.

| Irradiation Temp.         | Storage Time-Mos. | Discoloration | Off Odor | Mushiness | Irrad Flavor | Off Flavor | Preference |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------|-----------|--------------|------------|------------|
| - 40°C                    | 0                 | --            | 2.06     | 1.71      | 1.85         | 3.00*      | 6.42       |
|                           | 1                 | --            | 2.25     | 2.25      | 2.62         | 2.12       | 6.00       |
|                           | 3                 | 1.87          | 2.00     | 3.00      | 2.50         | 2.25       | 6.00       |
|                           | 12                | 2.12          | 1.62     | 2.62      | 2.00         | 1.50       | 5.50       |
| - 80°C                    | 0                 | --            | 1.71     | 3.00*     | 1.57         | 2.42       | 6.57       |
|                           | 1                 | --            | 1.87     | 1.75      | 2.12         | 2.00       | 6.25       |
|                           | 3                 | 1.62          | 1.87     | 2.50      | 2.25         | 2.37       | 6.12       |
|                           | 12                | 3.42          | 2.12     | 2.00      | 2.75         | 1.12       | 6.00       |
| -120°C                    | 0                 | --            | 1.57     | 3.28*     | 2.28         | 2.57       | 6.00*      |
|                           | 1                 | --            | 1.62     | 1.87      | 2.00         | 1.75       | 6.25       |
|                           | 3                 | 2.00          | 1.73     | 2.87      | 2.00         | 2.75       | 6.12       |
|                           | 12                | 1.87          | 1.75     | 2.00      | 1.75         | 1.50       | 6.00       |
| -185°C                    | 0                 | --            | 1.57     | 2.28      | 1.28         | 2.57       | 7.00       |
|                           | 1                 | --            | 1.37     | 1.75      | 1.37         | 1.25       | 7.37       |
|                           | 3                 | 1.62          | 1.62     | 3.12      | 1.87         | 2.75       | 6.50       |
|                           | 12                | 2.12          | 1.87     | 2.38      | 2.00         | 2.38       | 6.00       |
| Frozen Control Non-Irrad. | 0                 | --            | 1.14     | 1.42      | 1.00         | 1.14       | 8.14       |
|                           | 1                 | --            | 1.00     | 1.37*     | 1.00         | 1.50       | 7.75       |
|                           | 3                 | 1.12          | 1.12     | 1.75*     | 1.00         | 1.62       | 7.75       |
|                           | 12                | 1.12          | 1.25     | 1.12      | 1.00         | 1.62       | 7.00       |

N = 8 panelists per test

DOSE: 4.5 - 5.6 Megarads

21°C Storage (irradiated samples); -29°C storage (non-irradiated control)

\* Indicates significant differences (5% level) within the samples for each storage period.



TABLE #4

Effects of irradiation temperature on the sensory characteristics  
and preference ratings on U. S. Commercial beef loin.

## Sensory Characteristics

| Sample            | Storage<br>Time-Mos. | Discolor-<br>ation | Off<br>Odor | Mushi-<br>ness | Irrad<br>Flavor | Off<br>Flavor | Preference |
|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| - 40°C            | 0                    | --                 | 3.16*       | 1.83           | 3.00            | 2.16          | 5.66       |
|                   | 1                    | 1.85               | 1.57        | 2.71           | 2.42            | 2.42          | 6.14       |
|                   | 6                    | 2.37               | 2.37        | 2.00           | 2.12            | 3.00          | 5.89*      |
|                   | 12                   | 2.25               | 2.87        | 3.87*          | 2.50            | 1.75          | 5.00       |
| - 80°C            | 0                    | --                 | 1.83        | 1.66           | 2.33            | 2.16          | 6.33       |
|                   | 1                    | 1.14               | 1.71        | 2.28           | 2.00            | 3.14          | 5.57       |
|                   | 6                    | 2.89               | 2.12        | 3.00*          | 2.62            | 2.85          | 5.75*      |
|                   | 12                   | 2.50               | 2.75        | 3.25*          | 2.87            | 1.62          | 5.80       |
| -120°C            | 0                    | --                 | 2.16        | 1.66           | 2.33            | 1.83          | 5.66       |
|                   | 1                    | 1.14               | 1.42        | 2.00*          | 1.57            | 2.57*         | 6.28       |
|                   | 6                    | 2.62               | 2.62        | 3.25*          | 2.75            | 3.12*         | 6.25       |
|                   | 12                   | 1.75               | 1.75        | 3.35*          | 2.00            | 1.66          | 6.20       |
| -185°C            | 0                    | --                 | 2.00        | 2.33           | 2.16            | 2.33          | 6.16       |
|                   | 1                    | 1.28               | 1.42        | 1.71           | 1.42            | 2.28          | 6.85       |
|                   | 6                    | 1.50               | 2.00        | 1.62           | 2.62            | 2.50          | 5.80       |
|                   | 12                   | 1.62               | 2.25        | 2.50           | 2.50            | 1.12          | 6.40       |
| Frozen<br>Control | 0                    | --                 | 1.16        | 1.50           | 1.00*           | 1.33*         | 8.00*      |
|                   | 1                    | 1.00               | 1.42        | 2.14           | 1.42            | 4.42          | 6.57       |
|                   | 6                    | 1.12               | 1.50        | 1.50           | 1.50            | 2.13          | 7.12       |
|                   | 12                   | 1.87               | 1.12        | 1.38           | 1.12            | 1.00          | 7.60       |

DOSE: 4.5 - 5.6 Mrad

N = 8 panelists

21°C Storage

\* Indicates significance (5% level) within the samples for each storage period.



TABLE #5

Consumer panel ratings for U. S. Choice and U. S. Commercial  
beef loins irradiated at cryogenic temperatures.

| Samples        | Storage<br>Time-Mos. | Choice            | Commercial |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|
| - 40°C         | 0                    | 5.9 <sup>1/</sup> | 6.1        |
|                | 3                    | 5.9               | 5.7        |
| - 80°C         | 0                    | 6.1               | 6.4        |
|                | 3                    | 5.9               | 5.8        |
| -120°C         | 0                    | 6.3               | 6.5        |
|                | 3                    | 5.9               | 5.7        |
| -185°C         | 0                    | 6.4               | 6.4        |
|                | 3                    | 5.8               | 5.5        |
| Frozen Control | 0                    | 6.8 <sup>2/</sup> | 6.4        |
|                | 3                    | 6.9 <sup>2/</sup> | 6.2        |

N = 36 panelists per test

DOSE: 4.5 to 5.6 Mrad

21°C Storage

1/ Significantly different (5% level) from the frozen control sample.

2/ Significantly different (5% level) from the irradiated samples.



TABLE #6

Effects of irradiation temperature on the sensory characteristics of paired  
U. S. Choice beef loin.

| Variables         | Intensity Scores (n=24) |                   |                   |                   |                   |                |                              |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
|                   | Discoloration           | Off-Odor          | Mushiness         | Friability        | Irrad.<br>Flavor  | Off-<br>Flavor | Preference<br>Ratings (n=24) |
| Irrad at:         |                         |                   |                   |                   |                   |                |                              |
| - 40°C            | 2.3 <sup>1/</sup>       | 1.7               | 3.3               | 3.7 <sup>1/</sup> | 2.7 <sup>3/</sup> | 1.9            | 5.0 <sup>3/</sup>            |
| - 80°C            | 2.1 <sup>1/</sup>       | 2.0               | 3.3               | 3.7 <sup>1/</sup> | 2.7 <sup>3/</sup> | 2.2            | 5.1                          |
| -120°C            | 1.9                     | 2.3 <sup>1/</sup> | 2.6               | 3.0               | 2.1               | 2.3            | 6.0                          |
| -185°C            | 2.0 <sup>1/</sup>       | 1.7               | 3.0               | 2.6               | 2.3               | 2.3            | 5.5                          |
| Non-Irrad Control | 1.3                     | 1.4               | 1.6 <sup>2/</sup> | 2.0               | 1.0 <sup>2/</sup> | 1.7            | 7.3 <sup>2/</sup>            |

<sup>1/</sup> Significantly different from the control samples

<sup>2/</sup> Significantly different from all the irradiated samples

<sup>3/</sup> Significantly different from the -120°C samples

Significance at 95° confidence level



## FOOD LABORATORY DISTRIBUTION LIST

### Radiation Preservation of Food

#### Copies

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1 - Commander<br>U. S. Army Materiel Command<br>ATTN: AMCRD - TI<br>5001 Eisenhower Avenue<br>Alexandria, Virginia 22333   | 2 - Commandant of the Marine Corps<br>Headquarters U. S. Marine Corps<br>ATTN: Code LFS - 4<br>Washington, D. C. 20380  |
| 1 - Commanding Officer<br>Navy Food Service Systems Office<br>ATTN: Mrs. Marjorie Kehoe<br>Building 166<br>Washington Navy Yard<br>Washington, D. C. 20374           | 1 - Director<br>Division of Biology & Medicine<br>ATTN: Dr. M. Schulman<br>U. S. Atomic Energy Commission<br>Washington, D. C. 20545                                |
| 2 - Commandant of the Marine Corps<br>Headquarters U. S. Marine Corps<br>ATTN: Code RD - 44<br>Washington, D. C. 20380   | 1 - Director AF Hospital Food Service<br>Headquarters USAF/SGB - 1<br>6B153 James Forrestal Bldg.<br>Washington, D. C. 20314  |
| 1 - Commander<br>U. S. Army Foreign Science &<br>Technical Center<br>ATTN: AMXST - GE (Victoria Dibbern)<br>220 7th Street, N. E.<br>Charlottesville, Virginia 22901 | 1 - Library<br>USDA, Southern Regional Research<br>Center<br>P. O. Box 19687<br>New Orleans, Louisiana 70179  |
| 2 - Commander<br>U. S. Army Medical Research &<br>Development Command<br>ATTN: SGRD - MDI - N<br>Washington, D. C. 20314   | 5 - U. S. Department of Agriculture<br>Animal & Plant Health & Inspection<br>Service<br>ATTN: Director, Standards &<br>Services Division<br>Washington, D. C. 20250 |
| 2 - Commander<br>LAIR<br>PSF, CA 94129   | 1 - USDA, National Agricultural<br>Library<br>Current Serial Record<br>Beltsville, Maryland 20705   |
| 1 - Commanding Officer<br>U. S. Air Force Service Office (AFLC)<br>ATTN: Mrs. Germaine Gotshall<br>2800 South 20th Street<br>Philadelphia, Pa. 19101                 | 1 - Administrator<br>Agricultural Research Service<br>U. S. Department of Agriculture<br>ATTN: Dr. Fred Senti<br>Washington, D. C. 20250                            |



## Copies

- 2 - Director  
Bureau of Foods  
Department of Health, Education  
and Welfare  
Food & Drug Administration  
Washington, D. C. 20204
- 1 - Dr. G. E. Goheen, Acting Director  
Southern Regional Research Center  
Agricultural Research Service  
U. S. Department of Agriculture  
P. O. Box 19687  
New Orleans, Louisiana 70179
- 1 - Dr. C. H. Harry Neufeld, Director  
Southeastern Marketing & Nutrition  
Research Division  
Agricultural Research Service  
U. S. Department of Agriculture  
P. O. Box 5677  
Athens, Georgia 30604
- 1 - D. F. Davis  
USDA ARS  
P. O. Box 14565  
Gainesville, Florida 32601
- 2 - Headquarters 12th Support Brigade  
AC of S Services  
ATTN: Food Advisor  
Fort Bragg, North Carolina 28307
- 1 - Dr. K. C. Emerson  
Assistant for Research  
Office of Assistant Secretary of  
the Army (R & D)  
Department of the Army  
Washington, D. C. 20310
- 2 - Dr. Frank R. Fisher  
Executive Director, ABMPS  
National Academy of Sciences  
National Research Council  
2101 Constitution Avenue  
Washington, D. C. 20418
- 1 - CDR Harold J. Janson, MSC, USN  
Head, Food Service Branch  
Bureau of Medicine & Surgery  
Navy Department  
Washington, D. C. 20390
- 1 - Dr. Louis J. Ronsivalli  
Fishery Products Technology Laboratory  
U. S. Department of Commerce  
National Oceanic & Atmospheric  
Administration  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
Northern Region  
Emerson Avenue  
Gloucester, Massachusetts 01930
- 1 - HQDA (DARD - ARL)  
Washington, D. C. 20310
- 1 - Subsistence Management Policy Director  
ATTN: OASD (I & L)  
Pentagon 2B323  
Washington, D. C. 20310
- 3 - Office of the Coordinator of Research  
University of Rhode Island  
Kingston, Rhode Island 02881
- 3 - Exchange & Gift Division  
Library of Congress  
Washington, D. C. 20540
- 1 - Headquarters, USAF (AF/RDPS)  
DCS/Research & Development  
Washington, D. C. 20330
- 1 - Subsistence & Culinary Arts Department  
U. S. Army QM School  
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801
- 2 - Logistics Library  
Bunker Hall  
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801
- 2 - HQDA (DALO - TSS)  
Washington, D. C. 20310



- 1 - Commander  
U. S. Army Test & Evaluation Command  
ATTN: AMSTE - BC  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md.  
21005
- 1 - Executive Director  
Joint Committee on Atomic Energy  
Congress of the United States  
Washington, D. C. 20510
- 1 - Stimson Library  
ATTN: Documents Librarian  
U. S. Army Medical Field Service School  
Brooke Army Medical Center  
Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234
- 1 - U. S. Department of Agriculture  
Consumer & Marketing Service  
ATTN: Chief, Product Standards Branch  
Standards & Services Division  
Washington, D. C. 20250
- 1 - Headquarters, Defense Supply Agency  
ATTN: Mr. Jobe, DSAH - OP  
Cameron Station  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
- 1 - U. S. Army Advanced Materiel  
Concepts Agency  
ATTN: AMXAM - AC, (J. H. Berardelli, Plans & Operations Officer)  
Washington, D. C. 20315
- 1 - Dr. William H. Brown  
Chairman, Committee on Radiation  
Preservation of Food, NAS/NRC  
President, American Bacteriology  
and Chemical Research Corp.  
P. O. Box 1557  
Gainesville, Florida 32601
- 2 - Director  
Development Center (ATTN: M & S  
Division)  
Marine Corps Development & Education Command  
Quantico, Virginia 22134
- 1 - Assistant Director for Isotopes  
Development  
Division of Applied Technology  
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission  
Washington, D. C. 20545
- 1 - Commander  
LAIR  
ATTN: Dr. Nicholas Raica, Jr.  
PSF, CA 94129
- 1 - Chief  
Fishery Products Research &  
Inspection Division  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
Washington, D. C. 20235
- 1 - Mr. Bernard Manowitz  
Head, Radiation Division  
Department of Applied Sciences  
Brookhaven National Laboratory  
Upton, New York 11973
- 1 - Consumer Products Division, 730  
Bureau of Domestic Commerce  
U. S. Department of Commerce  
Washington, D. C. 20230
- 1 - Commander  
U. S. Army Medical Research &  
Development Command  
ATTN: SGRD - IDA  
Washington, D. C. 20314
- 1 - Commander  
U. S. Army Combat Development Command  
Personnel & Logistics Systems Group  
ATTN: CDCPALS - M  
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801



## Copies

- 1 - Commander  
U. S. Army Aberdeen R & D Center  
ATTN: AMXRD - BRD/Dr. Eccleshall  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md.  
21005
- 2 - Executive Secretary  
Interdepartmental Committee on  
Radiation Preservation of  
Food  
ATTN: Mr. Jerome Deitch  
Bureau of Domestic Commerce  
U. S. Department of Commerce  
Washington, D. C. 20230
- 5 - Chief, U. S. Army Food Service  
Center  
ATTN: Food Management Teams  
Division  
Fort Lee, Virginia 23801
- 1 - Prof. Walter M. Urbain  
Dept. of Food Science  
Anthony Hall  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, Michigan 48823
- 1 - Prof. R. Burt Maxcy  
Professor of Food Science &  
Technology  
University of Nebraska  
Lincoln, Nebraska 68503
- 20 - NRC Committee Members
- 1 - Headquarters, Air Force Systems  
Command (DLH)  
Andrews Air Force Base,  
Maryland, 20331
- 1 - Air Force Services Office  
DPKF  
2800 South 20th Street  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania  
19101
- 1 - USAFSAM  
SME: ATTN: Dr. Vanderveen  
Brooks Air Force Base, Texas  
78235
- 1 - Government Documents Department  
University of California Library  
Davis, California 95616
- 1 - Food Service School  
Service Support Schools  
Marine Corps Base  
Camp Lejeune, NC 28542
- 1 - Manager, Analytical and Technical  
Services  
RJR Foods, Inc.  
Department of Food Science and  
Technology  
P. O. Box 3037  
Winston-Salem, NC 27102
- 1 - Dr. Jack E. Roberts  
Manager Research & Development  
Ore-Ida Foods, Inc.  
Technical Center  
P. O. Box 10  
Ontario, Oregon 97914
- 1 - NASA Johnson Space Center  
ATTN: DB-3 COL N. Heidelbaugh  
Houston, Texas 77058
- 3 - Director  
Eastern Regional Research Center  
ARS, USDA  
600 E. Mermaid Lane  
Philadelphia, PA 19118
- 2 - Mr. Roland Finch, Chief  
Fishery Products, Research &  
Inspection Division  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
NOAA  
U. S. Department of Commerce  
3300 Whitehaven St., N. W. Rm. 300  
Washington, D. C. 2-235



Copies

- 1 - Dr. Emil M. Mrak  
Chancellor Emeritus  
University of California  
Davis, California 95616
- 1 - Dr. Samuel A. Goldblith  
Professor of Food Science and  
Deputy Department Head  
Department of Nutrition and Food Science  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
77 Massachusetts Avenue  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
- 1 - Mr. Jacob M. Schaffer  
U. S. Department of Commerce (Ret.)  
8910 Colesville Road  
Silver Spring, Maryland



FOOD ENGINEERING LABORATORY INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

Copies

- 22 - Military Requirements and Development Programs OFC, Food Engineering Laboratory, NLABS (12 for transmittal to Defense Documentation Center)
- 2 - Technical Library, NLABS
- 7 - Division Chiefs, Food Engineering Laboratory, NLABS
- 2 - Marine Liaison Officer, NLABS
- 3 - Air Force Liaison Officer, NLABS
- 1 - Special Assistant for DOD Food Program, ATTN: Dr. E. E. Anderson, NLABS
- 1 - U. S. Army Representative for DOD Food Program, NLABS
- 1 - U. S. Air Force Representative for DOD Food Program, NLABS
- 1 - U. S. Navy Representative for DOD Food Program, NLABS
- 2 - Technical Documentation Office
- 6 - Food Science Laboratory
- 25 - Project Officer, Food Engineering Laboratory, NLABS
- 10 - Alternate Project Officer, Food Engineering Laboratory, NLABS



| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE  |                       | READ INSTRUCTIONS<br>BEFORE COMPLETING FORM   |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| 1. REPORT NUMBER<br>FL-182   | 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. | 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER   |
| 4. TITLE (and Subtitle)<br>Development of Irradiated Beef<br>I. Acceptance of beef loin irradiated at cryogenic temperatures   |                       | 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED  |
| 7. AUTHOR(s)<br>Gary W. Shults and E. Wierbicki  |                       | 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER  |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS<br>U.S. Army Natick Laboratories<br>Natick, Massachusetts 01760  |                       | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)  |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS  |                       | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK<br>AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS<br>Project #IT762724AH99<br>Technical Area AH99D |
| 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)<br>U.S. Army Natick Laboratories<br>Natick, Massachusetts 01760  |                       | 12. REPORT DATE<br>July 1974  |
|  |                       | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES<br>16   |
|  |                       | 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)<br>Unclassified  |
| 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  |                       | 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING<br>SCHEDULE   |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)   |                       |   |
| 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  |                       |   |
| 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) <u>Link A</u> - Development 8, Beef 9, Irradiated Foods 9, Irradiated Meat 9, Cryogenic Temperature 10. <u>Link B</u> - Beef 7, Irradiated Foods 7, Irradiated Meat 7, Cryogenic Temperature 6, Irradiation Sterilization 6, Irradiation Doses 6, Irradiation Temperature 6, Flavor 7, Odor 7, Acceptability 7, Sterility 7, Sensory Mechanisms 7.  |                       |   |
| 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)<br>U.S. Choice beef loin stored for one year showed no difference in the ratings of samples irradiated at $-80^{\circ}$ , $-120^{\circ}$ , $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$ when evaluated for sensory characteristics and preference by a technological (expert) panel. Consumer type panelists could not detect any differences in the irradiated samples that could be attributed to the temperatures of irradiation within the temperature range from $-40^{\circ}$ to $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$ .<br>Results of technological panel evaluations obtained for the sensory character-<br><u>over</u> |                       |   |



Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

istics of U.S. Commercial beef loin stored for one year showed statistical differences between the  $-40^{\circ}$  and  $-80^{\circ}$  samples and the non-irradiated controls. No differences were noted in the sensory characteristics and preference ratings of samples irradiated at  $-120^{\circ}$  and  $-185^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

The off-odor and irradiation flavor intensities for the Choice and Commercial loins decreased by the lowering of the irradiation temperatures. However, the decreases in the intensity scores obtained by lowering the irradiation temperature below  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  were not statistically significant.

After one year's storage, all samples were rated in the acceptable range of 5.0 or above. Increases in the acceptance ratings of the samples were by lowering the irradiation temperature to  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Lowering the temperature below  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  did not result in any significant improvements.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)